

Prefrontal cortex and the generation of oscillatory visual persistence

Mark A. Elliott, Markus Conci, and Hermann J. Müller

Department Psychologie, Abteilung für Allgemeine und Experimentelle Psychologie, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, D-80802 Munich, Germany.
elliott@psy.uni-muenchen.de concici@psy.uni-muenchen.de
mueller@psy.uni-muenchen.de
http://www.paed.uni-muenchen.de/~elliott/

Abstract: In this commentary, the formation of “pre-iconic” visual-prime persistence is described in the context of prime-specific, independent-component activation at prefrontal and posterior EEG-recording sites. Although this activity subserves neural systems that are near identical to those described by Ruchkin and colleagues, we consider priming to be a dynamic process, identified with patterns of coherence and temporal structure of very high precision.

Neural oscillations in electrophysiological investigations are found throughout the cortex at a wide range of frequencies and at every level of resolution. The extent to which the brain appears to employ oscillations, the variety and complexity of oscillatory structures challenge any precise description of their functional role. If we are to consider the timing of neural activity as an organic instantiate of (inner) psychophysical processes at work, with a physical structure that allows correspondences to be made between these levels of analysis, then “precise description” may be considered to refer to which specific characteristics of neural timing relate to which characteristic of the psychophysical process under examination. Good temporal frequency resolution in the EEG provides a means of resolving this problem by permitting correspondences to be made between the timing of neural events and

observations of behavior. Considered from the psychophysical perspective, perhaps the optimal conditions to address this question are those in which perception becomes influenced by variations in the temporal characteristics of stimulus events. However, the majority of psychophysical methods employed are conceptually static in nature, whereas the questions addressed here, namely the relation of memory storage with the binding of activity across neural mechanisms, explicitly aim at dynamic aspects of psychophysical structure.

Is there evidence for dynamic psychophysical structure related to temporal binding? One line of evidence relates to the sub-threshold, oscillatory-priming effects reported by Elliott and colleagues (Elliott & Müller 1998; 2000; Kompass & Elliott 2001). Prime responses exhibit certain temporal characteristics: Primes persist for a duration shorter than that of iconic memory, but consistent with the persistence of a visible stimulus (i.e., ≤ 300 msec; see Coltheart 1980); and they are periodic, characterized by the frequency of priming-display presentations (e.g., the prime reaction time [RT] $\times$ premask-target ISI functions were periodic with a frequency of around 40 Hz; see Elliott & Müller 2000). That prime persistence comes to be characterized by the frequency of prime-display presentation (the priming stimulus comprises one of four repeatedly presented but asynchronized image frames, each presented at 10 Hz) has been taken as an indication that the prime is generated by mechanisms capable of coding global stimulus properties in interaction with relatively early visual-coding mechanisms. A second line of evidence, which supports this view, draws from the observation of Kompass and Elliott that the prime response temporally precedes regular, priming-stimulus presentation, and – given presentation at an identical phase relative to the rhythm of priming display presentation – also precedes target-




Figure 1 (Elliott et al.). Prime-specific activation during priming-display presentation. In (a) the head plot represents the average distribution of variance across the scalp at the mean time of maximum variance, which occurred at 341 milliseconds (msec) postpriming display onset (light–dark indicates maximum–minimum absolute variance). Averages were calculated from separate components from 10 subjects derived from a series of independent-components reconstructions of the averaged event-related potentials (ERPs) for each of 12 subjects. Clustering automatically excluded two subjects who showed no variance maxima with an appropriate latency or topography. Prominent are maxima under posterior electrodes O1 and P3 and electrode Fp1, which lies approximately over the superior frontal gyrus (Homan et al. 1987) and is associated with dorsolateral prefrontal activation. In (b) is shown the averaged spectral power representation of the 10 component activations. Peaks are evident at 10, 35, and 62/66 Hz. In (c) the spectrogram represents the time-frequency decomposition of the average component activation (blue–red indicates minimum–maximum log variance). The vertical dashed lines illustrate the time range over which variance maxima were clustered (the mean time [and standard deviation] was 341 [29] msec). Horizontal traces represent (in ascending order) the averaged representation of oscillatory activity at 10, 35, and 62 Hz. Times of priming-display and target-display presentation are indicated at the top of panel (c).

display presentation by some tens of milliseconds. In this case, the prime is understood to involve an anticipatory response in higher cortical mechanisms, which serves to “preactivate” lower level prime- and target-coding mechanisms.

Evidence for multiregional prime activity with particular temporal characteristics may also be drawn from examination of the EEG¹ accompanying priming-stimulus presentation. Component activations reconstructed from the EEG provide evidence for prime development as the combined function of occipito-parietal and prefrontal cortical activation. This is shown in Figure 1. Here component activity consists of coactive neural assemblies located under electrodes Fp1 and O1/P3. One function of prefrontal cortex is a delayed sample to matching response and it seems likely that the coactivation necessary for coding repeated prime presentation, in terms of the global frequency of priming-stimulus presentation, might be carried out by assemblies under Fp1 responding to a staccato of 10-Hz signals from posterior assemblies coding the local spatio-temporal organization of the priming display. Of particular interest is the timing of the oscillatory response to priming-display presentation. Notice in Figure 1(c), at the intersection of horizontal traces of high frequency (35 and 62 Hz) activity within the time period of maximum variation lie on, or just after a brief loss of coherence and prior to a subsequent burst of coherent oscillatory activity. From ontogenesis > 66 Hz at 400 msec, coherence spreads across lower frequencies as a function of time. Related activity occurs in the 35–40-Hz region at around 530–540 msec with corresponding activity at around 10 Hz at 590 msec, almost immediately prior to target-display presentation at 600 msec. The pattern of coactivation between neural assemblies under Fp1 and O2/P3 thus offers itself as a strong candidate for generation of the anticipatory response reported by Kompass and Elliott (2001).

Two points emerge from the analyses presented here. The first is that prefrontal-posterior synchronization appears to be involved in the formation of stimulus-related persistence, which has been shown to possess a duration sufficiently short to suggest that coactive neural assemblies may remain functional for as little as 200–300 msec post-stimulus offset (Elliott & Müller 2000). The second is that at least one characteristic of the prime response, the temporal precession of prime activity relative to target display presentation, may emerge as a function of cascading fluctuations in coherence between various frequency responses to prime stimulus presentation. An identification of particular dynamic states, which appear to be related to particular psychophysical performance, refocuses attention towards the requirement for description of active cognitive states in terms of the dynamic states upon which they may depend.

NOTE

1. For 12 subjects (4 male, mean age 24.1 years) the EEG was recorded from 19 Ag-AgCl electrodes (electrode positions are shown in Figure 1[a]) according to the international 10–20 system. Subjects performed a variant of the primed target detection task described in Elliott and Müller (1998). The experiment described here employed a priming-display presentation frequency of 40 Hz while priming displays were presented for 600 msec and followed immediately by target-display presentation. The electrodes were mounted in an elastic cap, were referenced to Fz while the nose served as the ground electrode. Electrode impedance was maintained below 5 kOhm. Horizontal and vertical electrooculograms (EOG) were additionally registered with four electrodes. EEG activity was amplified by means of NeuroScan amplifiers, digitized on-line with a sampling rate of 500 Hz and analog-filtered with a 0.1-Hz high-pass and a 100-Hz low-pass filter. A 50-Hz notch filter was applied to remove artifacts related to the main's electricity supply.

For the recording of EOG, the time constant 300 msec with a low pass filter at 70 Hz was used. The EOG-channel was visually inspected for each trial, and trials with eye movement or blink artifact were rejected. Localized muscle artefacts (at electrodes T3 and T4) were identified and if present reconstructed by means of an extended independent components analysis (ICA) algorithm (see Makeig et al. 1999). Averaging epochs lasted from termination of an alerting tone 200 msec before until 1,200 msec after priming-display presentation. Baselines were computed in the – 200

to 0 msec interval for each trial and subtracted prior to subsequent analyses. Analyses were carried out on the averaged event-related potential (ERP) for each subject.

In a first step, a series of component activations were recovered from each averaged signal by means of ICA using information maximization (infomax) techniques described by Bell and Sejnowski (1995) with variants of the ICA Matlab package (v.3.52) (available at: <http://www.cnl.salk.edu/~scott/>). In order to classify components and identify particular groups of clusters that appeared during premask-matrix presentation, components were defined in terms of the latency and topographical distribution of variance maxima (in this case, topographical projections were standardized by substituting raw activation at each electrode with the corresponding *z*-value computed relative to all projected activations at the time of maximal activation). Classification then proceeded by means of cluster analysis, calculating Euclidean distance between objects and computing linkages in a hierarchical cluster tree based upon the average distances between groups of objects and a threshold of 19 clusters (cophonetic correlation coefficient *c* = 0.81). The resulting clusters were considered for further analysis if (i) they included activations from more than 75% of subjects (i.e., 9 or more of 12 activations), (ii) they were specific to priming-stimulus presentation, (iii) maxima fell within the period of priming-display presentation, and (iv) if, following examination of the frequency component of each component activation by means of a 256-point fast-Fourier transform (FFT), strong peaks were evident at, or close to the priming-display presentation frequency of 40 Hz. On these criteria, a single component cluster was identified, which is described in Figure 1 and the main body of text.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are extended to Christoph Herrmann and to Zhuanghua Shi for valuable comments and advice. This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft project grants SCHR 375/8–1 and EL 248/1.

Thoughts from the long-term memory chair

Jonathan K. Foster

The Medical School, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia, and Neurosciences Unit, Health Department of Western Australia, Mt. Claremont, WA 6010, Australia. Jonathan.Foster@health.wa.gov.au

Abstract: With reference to Ruchkins et al.'s framework, this commentary briefly considers the history of working memory, and whether, heuristically, this is a useful concept. A neuropsychologically motivated critique is offered, specifically with regard to the recent trend for working-memory researchers to conceptualise this capacity more as a process than as a set of distinct task-specific stores.

In this interesting article, Ruchkin and colleagues tackle the important question of whether working memory reflects the activation of long-term memory. They advance a parsimonious “activation-proceduralist” framework, in which they specify that long-term memory systems associated with posterior cortical regions provide the necessary representational basis for working memory, and that the prefrontal cortex provides the necessary attentional control. In so doing, the authors argue that there is no reason to propose the existence of specialized neural systems whose functions are limited to short-term memory buffers, and they raise related and important issues concerning whether working memory is itself a useful concept. This is an ancient and significant debate. William James (1890) drew the distinction between primary and secondary memory, regarding the former as the “rearward portion of the present space of time” as distinct from the “genuine past.” Later, in the second half of the twentieth century, it was suggested that the short-term store might use phonological coding (as indicated, e.g., by Conrad's phonological confusability effect), whereas long-term memory may be mediated primarily via semantic coding.

In their article, Ruchkin et al. themselves evoke findings and concepts, which, as the authors acknowledge, hark back to some ideas that were articulated several years ago; for example, those proposed by Crowder (1993). Indeed, the influence of what could be termed the “international working-memory lobby” notwithstanding,